

SECTION 1: CIA SUMMARY

Community Impact Assessment: Summary

1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed:

Public Rights of Way – Proposed improvements to the rights of way network in vicinity of Knapton

2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?

To make concurrent extinguishment and creation orders under sections 118 and 26 of the Highways Act 1980, to extinguish Public Footpath, Knapton No 2 and create a new bridleway linking Main Street, Knapton to the southern end of North Field Lane, utilising the current underpass to cross the A1237. The proposal will provide a safer crossing of the A1237 and will form the final stage of an off-road walking, riding and cycling route that will link Rufforth, Knapton, Acomb, Upper Poppleton and the Northfield Lane business parks

3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:

Alison Newbould – Rights of Way Officer

4. Have any impacts been Identified? (Yes/No)

Yes

Community of Identity affected:

Age; Carers of older and disabled people;
Disability;
Pregnancy and maternity

Summary of impact:

The impact is considered to be positive/neutral. The creation of the new bridleway will create a safer and more accessible route linking Knapton with North Field Lane utilising the existing underpass to cross the A1237, rather than the current at grade crossing of the existing public footpath and/or the pedestrian refuge at the junction with Main Street and the A1237, to those Community of Identity groups affected. The proposal will:

- **Create a new public bridleway with a permanent and more accessible surface than the existing public footpath which has a natural surface.**

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See a safer and more convenient crossing of the A1237 (underpass) than the current at grade crossings available making it more convenient for all users.
--	--	--

5. Date CIA completed: 14/08/18

6. Signed off by:

7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed.
Name:
Position:
Date:

8. Decision-making body: Decision Session – Executive Member for Transport and Planning	Date: 13 September 2018	Decision Details:
--	--	--------------------------

Send the completed signed off document to ciasubmission@york.gov.uk It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website.
Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required

Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

Community Impact Assessment Title:

Public Rights of Way – Proposed improvements to the rights of way network in vicinity of Knapton

What evidence is available **to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect** on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? **For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people.** NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Community of Identity: Age

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
<p>Public rights of way officers, as an important part of their work, are required to be aware of the need for paths to be made as accessible as possible, having regard to the effect that their location and physical environment is likely to have on their potential use.</p> <p>Extensive consultation with the public and user groups including those representing older people and people with mobility problems (including wheelchair and</p>	<p>Access to Services; Health; Productive and valued activities; Individual, family and social life</p>	<p>Positive</p>	<p>Positive</p>

<p>buggy users) was carried out to inform the council's Draft Rights of Way Improvement Plan, a requirement of which was to have specific regard to the accessibility of local rights of way to blind or partially sighted persons and those with mobility problems. Responses showed that there is a significant demand for paths to be made more accessible.</p> <p>In this case, the planned creation of a new bridleway linking Knapton with North Fields Lane and Moor Lane bridleway, utilising an existing underpass, will provide a safer crossing of the A1237 than the existing public footpath (at grade) or use of the central pedestrian refuge at the junction with Main Street Knapton with the A1237.</p> <p>The dual surface of the new bridleway will be more commodious for all users of the path being level tarmac/crushed stone surface.</p>				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
Positive: On completion the proposed new route will have a dual surface of tarmac adjacent to a level crushed stone surface. The new path will be a total of 4 metres wide and will utilise the current	N/A	None required	A Newbould	

<p>underpass to cross the A1237. In total the new bridleway will provide a more accessible route for all users from Knapton to North Fields Lane, than the current public footpath at grade crossing of the A1237 and the pedestrian refuge crossing at the junction of Main Street, Knapton with the A1237.</p> <p>Negative: None</p>				
---	--	--	--	--

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
As above.	Access to Services; Longevity; Health; Productive and valued activities; Individual, family and social life	Positive	Positive	
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
<p>Positive: As above</p> <p>The proposed creation of the new bridleway would make the path more accessible to a wider range of users including Carers of Older or Disable</p>	N/A	None required	A Newbould	

People who may be accompanying other users of the path. Negative: None				
--	--	--	--	--

Community of Identity: Disability

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators		Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
As above		Access to Services; Health; Productive and valued activities; Individual, family and social life		Positive	Positive
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action		Lead Officer	Completion Date
Positive: The surface of the new path will be more commodious than the current footpath which is has a natural surface. The crossing of the A1237 will be safer and more accessible to all users. Negative: None	N/A	None required		A Newbould	

Community of Identity: Gender

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Not applicable		Not applicable	None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.		None required		

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Not applicable		Not applicable	None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.		None required		

Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Not applicable		Not applicable	None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.		None required		

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
As above		Access to Services; Health; Productive and valued activities; Individual, family and social life	Positive	Positive
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.	N/A	None required	A Newbould	

Community of Identity: Race

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Not applicable		Not applicable	None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.		None required		

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Not applicable		Not applicable	None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.		None required		

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Not applicable		Not applicable	None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
There is not expected to be either a positive or negative impact on this community of identity group.	N/A	None required		